EXHIBIT A "Part 3"

1 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Government Exhibit 2 10 is accepted into the record. 3 (Government's Exhibit 10 received in evidence.) 4 MR. BOYER: Okay. I'm sorry. 5 BY MR. BOYER: 6 Q. Ms. Valls, can you kind of help us out here? So is this first letter here, do you recognize that? 8 Α. Yes. What is this letter? 9 0. 10 This is a letter that we send as a follow-up 11 to a warning conference. It basically memorializes 12 that we had a warning conference and what was discussed 13 at that warning conference. 14 Q. All right. And this indicates that the 15 warning conference was held on July 10, 2012, at this 16 location here? 17 Α. That is correct. 18 0. All right. Did you participate in that --19 Α. Yes, I did. 20 -- warning conference? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 0. Who else was there? Was Mr. Frazier there? 23 Α. Mr. Frazier was there, and my supervisor was 24 there. I don't recall anybody else being there. 25 Q. Okay. And what was -- do you remember what Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409

- was discussed during that warning conference?
- We would have discussed the report of
- 3 violations that we gave him, any corrective actions
- 4 that he's taken at that point in time, and how he's
- 5 going to move forward.

2

- 6 Okay. Now, I notice that page 3 of this
- 7 exhibit, right, the letter's dated July 10th.
- appears to be a warning letter.
- 9 Α. Correct.
- 10 Was that -- so a warning letter was issued in 0.
- 11 addition to the warning conference?
- 12 That's correct, ves. Α.
- 13 Q. Okay. And finally the third letter there,
- 14 that appears to be informing the notification of the
- 15 warning conference; is that correct?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 That would have been sent to Mr. Frazier? Ο.
- 18 Α. Yes, that's correct.
- 19 All right. Understanding then that this one Q.
- 20 went to a warning conference, was there any history or
- 21 compliance problems prior to this warning conference?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. Can you speak to that?
- 24 Going back to the original letters that we're
- 25 talking about, in Exhibit 1 --

- Q. Government Exhibit 1.
- 2 A. -- it does have all of the compliance history
- 3 in here. So we did have an inspection in 2010, and he
- 4 was cited for various violations dealing with 4473s and
- 5 the A&D book. That was 2010. In 2011, it looks like
- 6 | we also did an inspection in 2011. He was also cited
- 7 for violations of the A&D and 4473.
- 8 Q. And that actually is the one here --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- the warning conference that came following
- 11 | that 2011 compliance inspection; is that correct? Or,
- 12 no, 2012.

- 13 A. 2012.
- 14 0. Yeah.
- A. So, yeah. This would be before we had the
- 16 | warning conference.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. 2012 was the warning conference. Generally,
- 19 we do a warning letter first, and then if they have
- 20 repeats and violations, more instances, then we go to a
- 21 warning conference.
- Q. I see. And so just to clarify, there was
- 23 this 2010 compliance inspection?
- A. It looks to me there was a 2010 and a 2011
- 25 compliance inspection, and then we went back again in

2012.

- Q. Okay. And as a result of the 2010 compliance inspection, did ATF take any action?
- A. It looks like he received a warning letter per the compliance history.
 - Q. Is that one of the reasons why a warning conference was held following the 2012 inspection?
- A. That would be correct. If he had repeat violations, if he had higher instances of violations, we would have proceeded to a warning conference, yes.
- Q. Within the warning letter, is part of the intention to put the federal firearms licensee on notice that additional violations could potentially lead to revocation of the license?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Would that have also been the similar intent and purpose of the warning conference?
 - A. Yes, absolutely.

MR. BOYER: And I'm going to mark this again Government Exhibit 11. This is a two-page document, and once again, this is going to be an acknowledgement of federal firearms regulations, this one dated November 4, 2014. And a copy to the Hearing Officer, Mr. Frazier, court reporter, and to Ms. Valls.

HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Government Exhibit

1 Α. He is the firearms manager. I'm not sure 2 exactly what his title was, but he was the one who was 3 doing all the firearms transactions at that time. So 4 Mr. Frazier had delegated him to actually participate 5 in the compliance inspection and go over the rules and 6 regulations with me. 7 Ο. Okay. And this would be a notice here on the 8 second page then. The signature then, that's of William Hoffman, Bill Hoffman? 9 10 Α. That's correct, yes. 11 Okay. That's 11. I'm handing you one more 12 document in case you didn't have enough already. 13 MR. BOYER: I'm marking this Government 14 Exhibit 12. Government Exhibit 12 is going to be a 15 one-page document titled documents provided to and 16 reviewed with the FFL. I'm handing a copy to the 17 Hearing Officer, Mr. Frazier, court reporter. 18 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Government Exhibit 19 12 is accepted into the record. 20 (Government's Exhibit 12 marked and received in 21 evidence.) 22 BY MR. BOYER: 23 I'm handing it to you, Ms. Valls. Ms. Valls, 24 do you recognize this document? 25 Α. Yes, I do. Free State Reporting, Inc.

1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

- Q. What is this?
- 2 A. This is a listing of all the documents that
- 3 | we -- the hard copy documents that we provide to the
- 4 FFL or their representative.
- 5 Q. Okay. And I note here, it looks like -- so
- 6 these were the documents then that would have been
- 7 issued to Frazier's Pawn Shop --
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. -- if I'm reading it right, the licensee's
- 10 name?

- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And, again, whose signature do we have at the
- 13 bottom?
- 14 A. William Hoffman.
- 15 Q. And he is signing it in his capacity as it
- 16 says industry member?
- 17 A. Yes. Mr. Frazier gave him permission to go
- 18 ahead and do the compliance inspection with us and sign
- 19 on his behalf.
- 20 Q. Okay. And if you can, it looks like there's
- 21 | checkmarks in each of these boxes.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What are the checkmarks, and what is this
- 24 indicating?
- A. We marked off every document that we gave to

- 1 him that's on the list. The backward checks are mine
- 2 because I'm left handed.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. So I completed it.
- Q. All right. And why do you, I guess, have
- 6 this form?

- A. Just so that we can document that not only we went over the acknowledgement, but we also gave them the forms that were related to the acknowledgement of
- 10 federal firearms regulations.
- Q. Okay. All right. Ms. Valls, I'm going to
- 12 | fast forward in -- well, let's see here. Before I go
- 13 there, 2014 inspection that you participated in that.
- 14 | Were there any violations noted in your inspection in
- 15 2014?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Take a look at Government Exhibit 1.
- 18 A. Yes, there were.
- 19 Q. Okay. Can you generally speak to the
- 20 | violations?
- A. Again, just acquisition/disposition
- violations as well as 4473 violations.
- Q. Okay. And did ATF take any action following that inspection?
- A. We sent him a warning letter.

- Q. And in that warning letter, as done previously, would Mr. Frazier have been put on notice that any potential further violations could lead to revocation of his license?

 A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, fast forwarding in time, I understand that you received a call on May 3, 2017, from Mr. Hoffman, Bill Hoffman.
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. All right. And what was that call?
- A. Mr. Hoffman called because he said that he had taken in a firearm, I'm not sure if was on pawn or they purchased it, but he took in a firearm and he said that he did not think that the firearm was configured correctly, that it had a part that shouldn't be on it. So he said that he had taken the part off of the firearm, but he wanted an agent to come by to look at the firearm to determine if that part was supposed to be on it or not be on it.
- Q. Um-hum. Did he mention that he had sold the firearm?
- A. No, he did not.
- Q. Okay. What did you do after receiving this phone call?
- A. I referred the call over to Special Agent

1 Martin and let him know what I talked about with

2 Mr. Hoffman and asked him if he could go out and look

3 at the firearm that Mr. Hoffman had.

Q. Now, of course, this eventually became a

5 criminal investigation?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And you're aware of that?
- A. Yes.

4

6

7

8

- 9 Q. All right. So this was May 3, 2017, that you
- 10 received this call. There was a search warrant
- 11 actually that was performed the following year, right,
- 12 in March --
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. -- of 2018?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Did you have any involvement in
- 17 that search warrant or reviewing the records that were
- 18 recovered as a part of that search warrant?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell us about that?
- 21 A. There was a team of IOIs that were put
- 22 together, including our supervisor, and we went in with
- 23 our purpose of doing inventory to see if there was
- 24 anything, any firearms they had on the premises that
- 25 | were not logged into their books.

1 Q. Okay. Did you find any firearms that were 2 not logged into their books? 3 Α. Initially we found 10 firearms that were not logged into their books, and later we found that there 4 5 were 2 in the book that were not in the correct order. 6 So we didn't find them initially. So we ended up 7 having eight firearms on the premises that were not yet 8 logged into the A&D book when we were there. 9 And just to be clear, I understand per our 10 regulations that a licensee has until the end of the 11 next business day to log those firearms into their A&D 12 book? 13 That's correct. Α. 14 Were any of those firearms, you know, 15 received into Mr. Frazier's inventory during that time 16 frame? 17 Α. I have no way of knowing that. 18 Q. Okay. 19 Α. Yeah. 20 To your knowledge, were any of those firearms Q. 21 received within that short 24-hour period? 22 Α. Not to my knowledge. 23 Okay. All right. And then did you also 24 participate in the review of the records that were

> Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

recovered from Mr. Frazier's shop?

25

A. I did.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.5

- Q. And there were a number of violations that were noted; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

MR. BOYER: Mr. Hearing Officer, I'm marking Government Exhibit 13. This is going to be an 87-page document consisting of 29 4473s and 51 number of violations identified therein. I'm handing a copy to

9 the Hearing Officer, Mr. Frazier, the court reporter.

HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Government Exhibit

11 Number 13 is accepted into the record.

(Government's Exhibit 13 marked and received in evidence.)

BY MR. BOYER:

- Q. Ms. Valls, I'm handing you a copy of Government Exhibit 13 as well.
- 17 A. Okay.
 - Q. Now, Ms. Valls, this is some of the records that were recovered during the search warrant from Mr. Frazier's pawn shop. And if I go through, I note on page 3, for example, of Government Exhibit 13, there is a box around number 29.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. What is that box?
 - A. That box indicates an error. We go through

- 1 the 4473s looking for errors. And so when we make
- 2 copies of them to put them into our databases, we put a
- 3 box around whatever we found to be wrong. In this
- 4 case, it's blank.
- Q. Okay. And so I was going to say, what is the error? It's blank. What should be within this box?
- A. It should be the written out number of how
 many firearms were sold in this transaction. So in
 this case, there was one sold as shown above. So they
 - Q. Okay. And is that a violation of federal firearms regulations?
 - A. That's correct, yes.

should have o-n-e written out as one.

- Q. Okay. Let's move to the next 4473. It looks like this one would be on the second page --
- 16 A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

- Q. -- of that one. I notice on the bottom there are two boxes there.
- A. Um-hum.
- Q. Again, does that indicate a violation?
- A. Yes, because it's blank, and it should have had a date and a signature there.
 - Q. Okay. And by not including -- and the signature would be for the transferee or the buyer's signature --

- 1 Q. All right. And you had an opportunity, again 2 you don't need to go through all of them, but you've 3 gone through and identified all the errors and violations contained in these 4473s, correct? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. And each of those have been indicated with a 7 box? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. I would also note that I had said when 10 introducing the exhibit, that there were 29 4473s. 11 number of violations were 51. 12 Α. That's correct. 13 Q. Can you explain why that might be? 14 Well, each form may have multiple. Α. 15 second one we looked at, it was missing a signature and 16 a date. So that would be two on one form, two errors 17 on one form. 18 Okay. Very good. Q. 19 MR. BOYER: I'll mark this Government Exhibit 20 This will be a 111-page document consisting of 37 21 ATF Form 4473s and indicating 64 violations. I'm 22 handing a copy to the Hearing Officer, Mr. Frazier, the
- court reporter. 23 24 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Government Exhibit 25 14 is accepted into the record.

(Government's Exhibit 14 marked and received in evidence.)

BY MR. BOYER:

- Q. Ms. Valls, I'll continue to add to your stack. Again, as previously indicated, these were records that were recovered from Frazier's Pawn Shop. And, again, it appears to have been compiled the written portions of the Form 4473, correct?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. Let's take a look then at a few of these here then. So on the second page, in this case, there is information written within here, the box is around the certification date, but there is a date in there. Why would that date or why would that be indicated as a violation?
 - A. That date is 1/30/2016, and if you look down at 19.a, which would be the date that the background check was called in, that is 1/20/2017 which also corresponds with number 37 on the next page which is 1/30/2017. So they have the incorrect date in the one that is boxed.
 - Q. So the date that should be listed there in block 15 should be the same as that listed in box 19.a as well as in block 37?

103 1 Α. In this case, yes. 2 Q. Okay. That's what -- it should be the same. 3 Α. It should be. 4 In this instance, it's not. Ο. 5 In this case, it's not. Α. 6 Q. And is that a violation of the federal 7 firearms regulations? 8 Α. Yes. 9 The next 4473, transaction number it looks 10 like 5433, also we've got a marker around block 15, and 11 is that for the same problem, same violation? 12 Α. It has an incorrect date. It actually has 13 the purchaser's birth date. 14 Q. Which was not --15 Α. The date in there. 16 Q. -- April 13, 2017? 17 Α. No. 18 Q. All right. The next one, let me see if I --19 and it looks like the next -- okay. And that continues 20 throughout the remainder of the Government Exhibit 14; 21 is that correct? 22 Α. It appears so. On 5471 is not a date issued, 23 but there is an error on it. 24 Q. Yeah. 25 A. You want to do that one? Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409

- Q. Yeah, maybe let's do that just to clarify the record. There's 12.a on transaction number 5471.

 You've highlighted that --
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. -- right? Why would that be -- is that the same type of violation as that of listing the wrong date in block 15?
 - A. It's the same citation --
- Q. Okay.
 - A. -- in the federal firearms regulations, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And so in this case, what should be 12 listed?
 - A. They should have marked their country of citizenship, and that is blank, the purchaser of the firearm.
 - Q. Okay. Now, is the -- and I should note that the violations, so when going through, you know, you're acknowledgement form and your inspections, when speaking to the licensees, would you have informed them that they needed to ensure that the transferee or the buyer completely fill out 4473?
 - A. Yes, and actually as we go through it, and we find errors, we usually take the forms to them and explain to them, you've got a bunch that are blank on this number, or you've got a bunch of incorrect dates.

- So you need to make sure that you really look at those pieces of information for the next time.
 - Q. And is that what you, in fact, did for inspections that you participated in, in 2012 and 2014 with Mr. Frazier?
- A. Yes, that's standard operating procedure for us.
 - Q. You would have informed them not only of the violation but followed that up with the 4473 that shows them what the error is?
 - A. Yeah, we usually show them the form as we do the inspection, and then when we go over the violations, we'll say, okay, remember we showed you these numbers were not correct, and that's how we would do it, yes.
 - Q. And were some of the violations that you noticed, as you're going through the records that were recovered pursuant to the search warrant, were some of the violations noted repeat violations?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. In other words, they had been violations noted on previous inspections, but they continued to have the same problem?
 - A. That's correct.
- MR. BOYER: The next exhibit, Government

- 1 Exhibit 15, is going to be a 15-page document
- 2 | consisting of five 4473s with five violations. For
- 3 | clarification, I think I left this off previously for
- 4 | the record, but Government Exhibit 13 would be for
- 5 | violation of 478.21(a). Government Exhibit 14 was a
- 6 | compilation of those 4473s that included violations of
- 7 478.124(c)(1). Government Exhibit 15 is going to be a
- 8 compilation of those 4473s that include violations of
- 9 478.124(c)(3)(i).
- And, again, I'm marking Government Exhibit
- 11 15. A copy for the Hearing Officer --
- 12 (Government's Exhibit 15 marked for identification.)
- 13 THE WITNESS: Well, that's the whole stack
- 14 you gave me.
- MR. BOYER: Oh, did I give you the whole
- 16 thing? Hand a copy to Mr. Frazier and to the court
- 17 reporter.
- 18 (Government's Exhibit 15 received in evidence.)
- BY MR. BOYER:
- Q. And, Ms. Valls, you have a copy as well?
- 21 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. Let's take a look at this one. On the
- 23 second page there, there is a block 18.a, and it's
- 24 around the information listed under expiration date of
- 25 identification.

- A. That's correct.
- Q. What is the -- why is that highlighted?
- A. It's an expired driver's license at the time
- 4 of this transaction. So we have in number 15, a
- 5 certification date of 4/24/17, and we also have a 19.a,
- 6 |4/24/17. So this license was expired at the time of
- 7 this transaction.

1

2

- 8 Q. All right. And is that -- in not having or
- 9 not using an expiration date, is that a violation of
- 10 478.124(c)(3)(i)?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. It appears on the second 4473, which
- 13 | would be transaction number 5443, we have the same
- 14 information highlighted in block 18.a.
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. And can you tell us, is that for the same
- 17 reason?
- A. They put a birth year instead of putting the
- 19 correct year of expiration. So we don't know if this
- 20 license was expired or not. It was an incorrect date.
- Q. Certainly the date, if we go by the date
- 22 alone, the date is well expired?
- A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. Of that license.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Ο. The next one would be it looks like 2 transaction number 5536. We've got two boxes that are highlighted on that page, and that's going to be in 3 block 18.a as well as in 19.d. Same problem in 18.a 4 5 with the expiration date? 6 Α. Yes. 7 We don't know if that is the actual 0. Okay. 8 date that is listed on the license. Certainly if it was, that's an expired date? 9
- That's correct, yes. 10 Α.
- 11 Okay. Indicate that the license is expired. Q.
- 12 And then 19.d, why is that highlighted?
- 13 Α. Well, that is not a violation of the current 14 ones that we're talking about, 124(c)(3)(i).
- 15 Q. Okay.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- This is a different violation, but this one is a violation because it is blank. We have in 19.c, marked a delayed response, but we don't have a final response which would be marked in 19.d.
- Okay. And so when we go through then and we go through the other exhibits, we may see this Form 4473, transaction number 5536, under that --
 - Α. That's correct.
- 24 -- specific violation? Q.
- 25 Α. Yes, that's correct.

- 1 So each 4473, if they have multiple, could 2 potentially contain not only multiple variations of the 3 same code, but different provisions of the regulations? 4 Α. Yes. And that's the case here? 5 Ο. 6 Α. Yes. 7 MR. BOYER: I'm marking Government Exhibit 8 16. That's going to be a 96-page document consisting 9 of 32 Form 4473s with 32 -- indicating 32 violations of 10 478.124(c)(3)(iv) or 4. Government Exhibit 16, I'm 11 handing a copy to the Hearing Officer, Mr. Frazier, 12 court reporter. 13 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Government Exhibit 14 16 is accepted on the record. 15 (Government's Exhibit 16 marked and received in 16 evidence.) BY MR. BOYER: 17 18 Ms. Valls, going through -- start on the Q. 19 first page of Government Exhibit 16, note down here, 20 block 12.a is highlighted. 21 Α. Correct. 22 And we've seen that before. This is where 23 the transferee or buyer should be marking country of 24 citizenship?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. And by not including that information, would this be considered a violation of 478.124(c)(3)(iv)?
- A. It would be considered a violation, but I can't tell you if it would be that one because, like I said, we had multiple on the forms.
 - Q. Right, right.

1.3

- A. I believe the (c)(3)(iv) is more under the background check section.
- Q. Right, which if we turn to the second page then, we've got 19.c is highlighted.
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And why is it highlighted?
 - A. That would be the initial response that they receive from NICS when they call in the background check, and it's blank. So we don't know what the answer actually was or if it was a delayed response or what have you.
 - Q. Okay. And what's the point of -- why the requirement to have the licensee fill this information out?
 - A. So we know if the transaction was immediately proceeded, whether it was delayed, if it was denied. The only way we have of knowing now is that we have a number up here for our next transaction number, but we don't know if it was delayed or if it was unapproved.

- Q. -- of this provision?
- A. Yes.

2

8

12

13

14

3 MR. BOYER: I'm marking as Government Exhibit

4 17 a three-page document consisting of one Form 4473

5 | indicating one violation of 478.124(c)(4). I'm handing

6 a copy to the Hearing Officer, to Mr. Frazier, the

7 court reporter, and to Ms. Valls.

(Government's Exhibit 17 marked for identification.)

BY MR. BOYER:

- Q. Ms. Valls, looking at this, if I go to the third page, I note that there is a box, and let's see,
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Why would that be highlighted?

under block 27, around the word "pistol."

- 15 A. This was the firearm that was sold, that they
- 16 are listing out the information for the firearm, and if
- 17 you look at number 16, they have marked off long gun,
- 18 and also from my experience, it being a Remington 870,
- 19 it is a long gun as well as number 38 saying it's a 12-
- 20 gauge, which would indicate a long qun as well. So
- 21 | they wrote the incorrect type of firearm that they
- 22 sold.
- Q. And by not listing the correct firearm sold,
- 24 | that would be a violation of 478.124(c)(4)?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
1
         Q.
              And, again, this would have been part of your
 2
    discussion with the licensee when going over his
 3
    requirements under the federal firearms regulations?
 4
         Α.
              Yes.
 5
              MR. BOYER: If you will, just give me one
 6
    moment here.
 7
              HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: While you're
 8
    taking a moment, I'll mention that Government Exhibit
 9
    17 is accepted into the record.
10
     (Government's Exhibit 17 received in evidence.)
11
              BY MR. BOYER:
12
              And I know I haven't done this for all of
         Q.
13
    them, but I would note, so if you refer back to
14
    Government Exhibit 1 --
15
         Α.
              Um-hum.
16
             -- and you look at page 4 of the exhibit,
17
    number 3 is listed on top.
18
         Α.
              Okay.
19
         Q.
              And you've got in subparagraph 1(g),
20
    violation of 27 C.F.R. 478.124(c)(4)?
21
         Α.
              Yes.
22
              All right. Same provision that we're talking
23
    about here?
24
         Α.
              That's correct, yes.
25
         Q.
              Okay. And in that instance, so as part of
                    Free State Reporting, Inc.
                    1378 Cape St. Claire Road
                       Annapolis, MD 21409
                          (410) 974-0947
```

- 1 the 2010 inspection, then you had three instances of 2 noncompliance? That's correct. 3 Α. 4 Q. And so this would be a repeat violation? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Okay. I know I haven't done that with every Q. 7 single one. 8 MR. BOYER: I'm marking as Government Exhibit 9 18 a 12-page document consisting of four Form 4473s, 10 containing four violations of 478.124(c)(5). I'm handing a copy to the Hearing Officer, Mr. Frazier, the 11 12 court reporter, Ms. Valls. 13 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Government Exhibit 14 18 is accepted into the record. 15 (Government's Exhibit 18 marked and received in 16 evidence.) 17 BY MR. BOYER: 18 Ms. Valls, I'm looking at the first Form 4473 Q. 19 which would be transaction number 5695. If I look on 20 page three, block 37 appears to have a bold block 21 around the date listed there. 22
 - Α. Yes.

24

25

- Q. Why is that?
- That date is incorrect. If you look at number 15, it is dated 8/23/17, and 19.a is also dated

- 1 8/23/17. Therefore, the date of the transaction cannot
- 2 be the day before those dates. That date would be
- 3 incorrect.
- 4 Q. So if you go strictly by the dates, this
- 5 | would suggest that the firearm was transferred prior to
- 6 completion of the -- well, prior to the certification
- 7 date as well as the date listed in 19.a?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And is not having that date accurate, is that
- 10 | a violation of 478.124(c)(5)?
- 11 A. Yes.

9

- 12 Q. Moving to the next Form 4473, it would be
- 13 transaction 5213. It appears this again, block 37 has
- 14 | the incorrect date, and can you tell us why that is
- 15 highlighted?
- A. Again, if you go back to 15, block 15, the
- 17 date is 1/26/17. The date is also 1/26/17 for 19.a.
- 18 And the date in block 37 is 1/25/17.
- 19 Q. All right. And the next 4473, that would be
- 20 transaction number 5909. It, too, contains the same
- 21 issue in block 37?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. Just give me one moment. Referring then
- 24 back, Ms. Valls, to Government Exhibit 1, this would be
- 25 subparagraph 1(h), indicate there the violation of

```
116
 1
    178.124(c)(5) [sic], correct?
 2
         Α.
              That's correct, yes.
 3
              In that instance, there was five violations
    noted?
 5
         Α.
              Yes.
 6
         0.
              Okay. So, again, this would indicate a
 7
    repeat violation?
 8
         Α.
             Yes.
              And it appears in 2011, down at the bottom of
 9
10
    that page, 5(c).
11
         Α.
              Yes.
12
         Q.
              Same violation?
13
         Α.
              Yes.
14
              And to paragraph 9(f), same violation?
         0.
15
         Α.
              Yes.
16
              MR. BOYER: I'm marking Government Exhibit
17
         It's going to be a nine-page document consisting
18
    of three Form 4473s and consisting of two violations of
19
    478.126(a). I'm handing a copy to the Hearing Officer,
20
    Mr. Frazier, the court reporter, and Ms. Valls.
21
    (Government's Exhibit 19 marked for identification.)
22
              BY MR. BOYER:
23
              All right. Ms. Valls, I quess let's go to
         Q.
24
    the third page of Government Exhibit 19. That would be
25
    for transaction number 5407.
                    Free State Reporting, Inc.
                    1378 Cape St. Claire Road
                       Annapolis, MD 21409
```

1 Wait a minute. I have 5239 on top. Is this Α. 2 part of -- the bottom part of somebody's. I have two 3 on the bottom. Let me check. 5239 --4 MR. BOYER: Yeah, it should be. If everybody 5 could do a count. THE WITNESS: Yeah, 5239 should be on the 6 . 7 So somebody's missing a 5239. bottom. 8 MR. BOYER: Let me check. Maybe the court 9 reporter. I believe I shortchanged the court reporter. 10 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: While you're doing 11 that, I'm just going to say Government Exhibit 19 is 12 accepted into the record. 13 (Government's Exhibit 19 received in evidence.) 14 MR. BOYER: I'm handing a complete copy of Government's Exhibit 19 to the court reporter. 15 16 BY MR. BOYER: 17 Ms. Valls, looking then -- let's start this Q. 18 over. So I've got transaction number 5407. 19 Α. Correct, yes. 20 And if I turn to the third page, I've got 21 here a block around information there at the top of the 22 page. What's the block indicate? 23 Α. It indicates the firearms that were sold to 24 this particular individual, and in this case, these are 25 two handguns that were sold on the same day to the same

1 individual.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- Q. All right. And why would that information be highlighted?
- A. The licensee is required to report multiple sales of handguns, which means that they have sold more than one handgun to the same individual within a 5-day period. In this case, it was on the same day. And we did not find any corresponding multiple sale form filled out, nor did we have any on our trace history reports.
- Q. Would that failure to notify then at the multiple sale be a violation of 478.126(a)?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. All right. And then I note that -- so we've got only two violations but we've got two more 4473s?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And can you explain why that is the case?
 - A. On Form 5244, we have a handgun that was sold to a Rodney Ruppenthal on February 10, 2017, and then we also have a firearm, a handgun, that was sold to Rodney Ruppenthal, same address on both forms, on
- handgun sold to the same individual within a 5-day
 period that a multiple should have been filled out for.

2/8/17. So this indicates that it was more than one

Q. And none was actually filed?

1 Α. We did not find any in Frazier's files, nor did we find any on our trace history report, no. 3 Ο. Referring back then to Government Exhibit 1 4 and looking on the sixth page of that exhibit, number 5 5 listed up top. 6 Α. Yes. 7 It appears that this would be -- the same Q. 8 violation was noted in 2014 --9 Α. That's correct. 10 Q. -- during that inspection? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. So, again, in this we have another repeat 13 violation? 14 Α. Yes. 15 MR. BOYER: I'm marking as Exhibit 20 a 16 three-page document consisting of one Form 4473 17 containing three violations of 478.102(a). And a copy 18 for the Hearing Officer, Mr. Frazier, and to the court 19 reporter, Ms. Valls. 20 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Government Exhibit 21 20 is accepted into the record. 22 (Government's Exhibit 20 marked and received in 23 evidence.) 24 BY MR. BOYER: 25 Q. And as indicated, there were three violations Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409

- here. Can you speak to those three violations?
- A. Well, the first on 12.a is not actually part of 102(a).
 - Q. Oh, okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. But they failed to answer that question. It is blank, and that's why that one is a violation, but it is not part of 102(a).
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. So 102(a) is on the second page where we have 19.b and 19.c blank, 19.b being the NICS transaction number when the licensee is given when they call in a background check and get a proceed. And then 19.c would be where they would indicate whether they got a delayed response, proceed response, or denied response. In both cases, they are blank, and we have nothing to indicate that a background check was actually called in. And I will also add that on 21 in West Virginia, we do have something that will override the background check. So we will look at number 21 to see if the

purchaser provided anything, and in this case, they did

Q. Okay. And when you say 21, that's block 21 on --

not. So we have nothing to show that they were

25 A. Block 21, yes.

approved to get this firearm.

- Q. Okay. That's where it would be annotated that there's an exception to this?
 - A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

22

23

- Q. Okay. And by not listing that information, there's no way that again a background check was performed?
- A. There's no way that we can tell if a background check was performed, no.
- Q. Okay. And if there's no way to confirm that one was done and that, in fact, one wasn't done, would that be a violation of 478.102(a)?
- 12 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. So I'd note then that would be a single violation.
- A. Well, it depends on how you look at it. Two blocks are technically blank.
- 17 Q. Okay.
 - A. In this case, it's 102(a), which is different than not filling out a form. This is actually a sale that shouldn't have taken place.
- 21 Q. I see.
 - A. So it is one instance with these two blocks that would be put together.
- Q. I understand. That's all the questions I have for you, Ms. Valls.

1 Α. All righty. 2 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Mr. Frazier, do 3 you have any questions of Ms. Valls? 4 MR. FRAZIER: A couple. 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. FRAZIER: 7 Referring back to the gun that was supposed 0. 8 to be a machine gun, do you know when Bill called in? 9 Did you know? Did he call in, do you know if it was 10 the same day he got the gun in or a month later? 11 I don't know. Α. 12 0. Did anybody tell him not to sell the gun? Α. 13 Not that I'm aware of. 14 MR. FRAZIER: Okay. That's all my questions. 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. BOYER: 17 Q. And just for clarification, again for the 18 record, I would note that that particular transaction 19 turns up what Hoffman related to Ms. Valls and was 20 later passed onto Special Agent Martin, is not a 21 violation that is noted nor included in the notice to 22 deny the new application. 23 Α. That's correct. 24 (Witness excused.) 25 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Thank you. At Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409

(410) 974-0947

```
123
 1
    this time, we're ready to proceed with your
 2
    presentation of anything you may have.
 3
              MR. VANN: Actually, before we start --
 4
              HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Do we need a
    break?
 5
 6
              MR. VANN: -- let's take a break.
 7
            I need 20 minutes from 1 to 1:20 at most for a
    12:56.
 8
    conference call that I just can't get out of. Can we
 9
    reconvene at 1:20?
10
              HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Is that okay with
11
    everybody else?
12
              MR. VANN:
                         1:20. That gives him 20 minutes
13
    to look through this stuff anyway because I feel that's
14
    appropriate at this moment.
1.5
              HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Absolutely.
16
              MR. VANN: So you can look through it because
17
    there's quite a volume of material.
18
              HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Okay. So at
19
    12:26, we will be off the record, and we'll resume in
20
    20 minutes. Okay. Thank you.
21
              (Off the record at 12:56 p.m.)
22
              (On the record at 1:17 p.m.)
23
              HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Okay. It is 1:17,
24
    and we are back on record.
25
              Mr. Frazier, it's your turn to present
                   Free State Reporting, Inc.
                    1378 Cape St. Claire Road
                       Annapolis, MD 21409
```

(410) 974-0947

evidence and anything -- any discussion you want to 1 2 have regarding why your federal license renewal should 3 not be denied. 4 MR. FRAZIER: The first thing I want to say 5 is that obviously it appears that probably 99 percent 6 of the paperwork is under William Hoffman. You know, I 7 guess I just relied on him, being him working at other 8 gun shops in the past, and he told me he knew what he 9 was doing and he knew all about the paperwork and what 10 needed to be done. Obviously, that wasn't factual. 11 I also brought with me stuff that I have been 12 doing to make sure all the paperwork is right and would 13 get stuff in. I'll give you my Exhibit 1, and it's six 14 pages. 15 You get a copy of that, correct? 16 (Applicant's Exhibit 1 marked for identification.) 17 MR. BOYER: And just to be clear, 18 Mr. Frazier, so would this be actions that you've taken 19 since --20 MR. FRAZIER: Since Bill's been gone. 21 he's not working there. 22 MR. BOYER: Since Bill's been gone. Okay. 23 So I understand and appreciate you taking the effort. 24 I would object to the admission of these 25 exhibits simply because this hearing is simply based on Free State Reporting, Inc.

1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

1 the violations and during that I guess that led up to 2 recovery of the documents and the violations that were noted therein. And so in case law, unfortunately, you 3 4 know, rules against the licensee in terms of remedial 5 action or corrective actions that have been taken 6 since, it's not a matter for consideration for purposes 7 of these hearings. 8 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: I'll take that into consideration, but I am going to allow it to be 9 10 entered into the record as Exhibit 1 for the FFL. 11 (Applicant's Exhibit 1 received in evidence.) 12 MR. FRAZIER: Thank you. Anybody else gets a 13 copy? 14 MR. BOYER: Yeah, yeah, make sure. He's the 15 most important one. 16 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: I just want to be 17 clear, too, for Exhibit 1, this is showing what 18 exactly? 19 MR. FRAZIER: It's a six page -- what it is, 20 the first page is a contract that when we put a gun in, 21 it goes into our computer system. This is a copy of the contract. And then there's checkmarks beside each 22 23 That is me personally noting that I logged 24 those into the book. 25 The second page is a page from our computer,

2.5

but I did not know that it would print out all the guns in and out, and if you look at, it's got checkmarks by each side. On the first column, this is just backing up the contract, that each gun gets logged in, in the book and with a name, which is in response to this that we print out that we can make sure all these are loaded in.

And then the next four pages is an actual 4473. Then there's two checkmarks at the top, that's one, me, that I've logged it out and the second checkmark is that I've went over everything, making sure everything's in, and also the very last page, we copy a driver's license to keep with all the paperwork now also, and if they have a concealed carry form, we copy that also.

And the second exhibit, Number 2, is just our -- everything that we've -- I printed this out back in March of this year, when I knew the hearing was coming up, just kind of showing the percentage of business we do. If you look on page 9, and this is just showing how much percentage of guns that we do, why it's kind of pertinent that I keep my license. If you look at it, just on page 9, if you add it up, the principal involved, all four percentage, is 38.44 percent of our business just in buys of firearms, and

1 then the pawns is actually 28 -- it looks like 21.57 2 percent in pawns. 3 (Applicant's Exhibit 2 marked for identification.) 4 MR. BOYER: And the pawns, I'm sorry. The 5 pawns are firearms only or --6 MR. FRAZIER: Yes, just firearms. 7 MR. BOYER: Okay. 8 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: So basically what 9 you're saying then is that 59 percent of your total business conducted is a combination of firearm sales 10 11 and pawned firearms? 12 MR. FRAZIER: I do not know if that 13 percentage totals, but pawns and that is 59 percent. 14 would say of all our stuff that we buy in -- this was 15 from January through December of 2018, this log, of all 16 the things that we bought throughout that year, 38.44 17 percent was purchase of handguns or purchase of 18 firearms, and then of the pawns, it's 21.57 percent of 19 the pawns. So I would think that it was separated 20 between buys and pawns, not a total together. 21 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: I accept 22 Licensee's Exhibit 1 into the record. 23 (Applicant's Exhibit 2 received in evidence.) 24 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: But I'm also going 25 to let Government counsel look at it. Free State Reporting, Inc.

1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

128

1 MR. FRAZIER: You can have my copy if you 2 want. 3 MR. BOYER: I make the same objection to 4 Applicant's or Licensee's Exhibit Number 2 on the same 5 basis, just the fact that we're -- it goes outside the 6 scope of the hearing, the reason why we're here today, 7 your evidence. What I may do is just burn a quick 8 copy, you know, when we're done. 9 MR. FRAZIER: You can have mine. 10 MR. BOYER: Yeah. MR. FRAZIER: I don't need it. 11 12 MR. BOYER: Okay. Yeah. All right. 13 MR. FRAZIER: I don't need it. 14 MR. BOYER: I'll do that. 15 MR. FRAZIER: And that's all I brought. 16 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Do you have 17 anything else you'd like to say --18 MR. FRAZIER: Just that --19 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: -- or state? 20 MR. FRAZIER: Just the same thing that I've 21 iterated before, that as I stated, just going through, 22 skimming through 99 percent of this, the violations 23 were William Hoffman's responsibility. And as far as 24 the transactions he did, selling -- making straw 25 purchases, whatever he has done, is solely on him. Free State Reporting, Inc.

- 1 had nothing to do with it. If he said that I did, he's 2 I never knew he was selling guns. He didn't 3 come to me and say, hey, should we sell this or not 4 because I told him before, like I told any other guys 5 that work for me, if you think it's an issue, just tell 6 me, no, you're not licensed to sell it to them. And 7 I've always told everybody that. That's all I have. 8 . MR. VANN: We have a few questions then for 9 Mr. Frazier. 10 (Whereupon, 11 DAVID M. FRAZIER II 12 was called as a witness by and on behalf of the 13 Government and was examined and testified as follows:) 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. VANN: 16 Mr. Frazier, I just want to get a few things 17 straight. Number one, you do understand your 18 obligations as a firearms dealer, correct? 19 Α. As far as --20 Your obligations, what you're required to do, 21 writing the 4733s, keeping A&D book --22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 Q. -- those types of things? You understand 24 that's issues? 25 Α. Um-hum.
 - Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

```
131
    when I first got my license.
 1
 2
         Q.
              So you got it?
 3
         Α.
              Yes.
 4
         Q.
              And you've also had some dealings with IOIs,
 5
    correct? With the investigators?
 6
         Α.
              Eileen, yes.
 7
              With Eileen?
         Q.
 8
         Α.
              Um-hum.
 9
         Q.
              And you've got her phone number. You can
10
    call her if you've got a question.
11
         Α.
              Yes, and I have before, yes.
12
         Q.
              And you have called her?
13
         Α.
              Um-hum.
14
              Okay. So if you had a question about what to
15
    do properly, you would call her?
16
         Α.
              Yes.
17
         0.
              Okay. And you do also understand that you
18
    have responsibility for your employees?
19
         Α.
              Yes.
20
              MR. VANN: Okay. No further questions.
21
              (Witness excused.)
22
              HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Nothing else? Do
23
    you have anything else?
24
              MR. FRAZIER: No, sir.
25
              HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Okay. So I want
                    Free State Reporting, Inc.
                    1378 Cape St. Claire Road
                       Annapolis, MD 21409
                          (410) 974-0947
```

1 to thank everyone. I believe we have all the information that I need. I will make my final decision 3 based on the information provided at the hearing today 4 and the record that was presented before me. 5 If the decision is that the Licensee's 6 application will not be denied, you will receive a 7 written notice of that decision. In the event that 8 it's determined that the Licensee's application will be 9 denied, you will receive a final notice of denial of 10 application. You will also receive a copy of my 11 findings and conclusions. If you receive a final 12 notice, you may file a request in federal District 13 Court in the district where you conduct business under 14 the provisions of Section 923(f)(3), Title 18, United 15 States Code, for a de novo hearing. This request must 16 be submitted within 60 days of the receipt of the final 17 notice. 18 At this time, as I just mentioned before, is 19 there anything else anyone would like to add to the 20 record? 21 MR. BOYER: None from the Government. 22 MR. FRAZIER: None from me. 23 HEARING OFFICER FRONCZAK: Okay. If there is 24 nothing further to add, the time is 1:27 p.m. 25 hearing is officially closed.

```
133
 1
               (Whereupon, at 1:27 p.m., the hearing in the
 2
     above-entitled matter was closed.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                     Free State Reporting, Inc.
                     1378 Cape St. Claire Road
                        Annapolis, MD 21409
                           (410) 974-0947
```

	134
1	CERTIFICATE
2	This certifies that the attached proceeding before the
3 ·	BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES
4	IN THE MATTER OF: DAVID FRAZIER II dba
5	FRAZIER'S PAWN SHOP
6	PLACE: Martinsburg, WV
7.	DATE: August 21, 2019
8	
9	was held according to the record, and that this is the
10	original, complete, true and accurate transcript which
11	has been compared to the recording accomplished at the
12	hearing.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Bradley Weirich
19	Court Reporter
20	·
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947